

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,845	KOSHIOL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R. Evanisko	3762	

All Participants:

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(2) Timothy Clise.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39-41

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To include claim 40 in claim 39 to allow claim 39 to follow the same patentable features of the other independent claims of storing the first state of the programmable parameter that was determined to have an error in it when it was changed to a second state (and to prevent the claim from being withdrawn in view of the original restriction)..